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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes to the syllabus for 2024</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The syllabus has been updated. The latest syllabus is version 1, published September 2024.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4.3: The topics for Component 3: Essay, have been updated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4.4: The texts for Component 4: Texts, has been updated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllabus 8682 is available in the June and November series.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllabus 9716 is available in the June series only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant changes to the syllabus are indicated by black vertical lines either side of the text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You are strongly advised to read the whole syllabus before planning your teaching programme.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Why choose Cambridge International?

Cambridge International prepares school students for life, helping them develop an informed curiosity and a lasting passion for learning. We are part of the University of Cambridge.

Our Cambridge Pathway gives students a clear path for educational success from age 5 to 19. Schools can shape the curriculum around how they want students to learn – with a wide range of subjects and flexible ways to offer them. It helps students discover new abilities and a wider world, and gives them the skills they need for life, so they can achieve at school, university and work.

Our programmes and qualifications set the global standard for international education. They are created by subject experts, rooted in academic rigour and reflect the latest educational research. They provide a strong platform for students to progress from one stage to the next, and are well supported by teaching and learning resources.

We review all our syllabuses regularly, so they reflect the latest research evidence and professional teaching practice – and take account of the different national contexts in which they are taught.

We consult with teachers to help us design each syllabus around the needs of their learners. Consulting with leading universities has helped us make sure our syllabuses encourage students to master the key concepts in the subject and develop the skills necessary for success in higher education.

Our mission is to provide educational benefit through provision of international programmes and qualifications for school education and to be the world leader in this field. Together with schools, we develop Cambridge learners who are confident, responsible, reflective, innovative and engaged – equipped for success in the modern world.

Every year, nearly a million Cambridge students from 10 000 schools in 160 countries prepare for their future with the Cambridge Pathway.

“School feedback: We think the Cambridge curriculum is superb preparation for university.”
Feedback from: Christoph Guttentag, Dean of Undergraduate Admissions, Duke University, US

“School feedback: Cambridge students develop a deep understanding of subjects and independent thinking skills.”
Feedback from: Principal, Rockledge High School, USA
Why choose Cambridge International AS & A Levels?

The best motivation for a student is a real passion for the subject they’re learning. By offering students a variety of Cambridge International AS & A Levels, you can give them the greatest chance of finding the path of education they most want to follow. With over 50 subjects to choose from, students can select the ones they love and that they’re best at, which helps motivate them throughout their studies.

Following a Cambridge International AS & A Level programme helps students develop abilities which universities value highly, including:

• a deep understanding of their subjects
• higher order thinking skills – analysis, critical thinking, problem solving
• presenting ordered and coherent arguments
• independent learning and research.

Our approach in Cambridge International AS & A Level encourages learners to be:

• confident in working with information and ideas – their own and those of others
• responsible for themselves, responsive to and respectful of others
• reflective as learners, developing their ability to learn
• innovative and equipped for new and future challenges
• engaged intellectually and socially, ready to make a difference.
International recognition and acceptance

Our expertise in curriculum, teaching and learning, and assessment is the basis for the recognition of our programmes and qualifications around the world. Every year thousands of students with Cambridge International AS & A Levels gain places at leading universities worldwide. They are valued by top universities around the world including those in the UK, US (including Ivy League universities), Europe, Australia, Canada and New Zealand.

UK NARIC, the national agency in the UK for the recognition and comparison of international qualifications and skills, has carried out an independent benchmarking study of Cambridge International AS & A Level and found it to be comparable to the standard of AS & A Level in the UK. This means students can be confident that their Cambridge International AS & A Level qualifications are accepted as equivalent, grade for grade, to UK AS & A Levels by leading universities worldwide.

Learn more
For more details go to www.cambridgeinternational.org/recognition

Quality management

Cambridge International is committed to providing exceptional quality. In line with this commitment, our quality management system for the provision of international qualifications and education programmes for students aged 5 to 19 is independently certified as meeting the internationally recognised standard, ISO 9001:2015. Learn more at www.cambridgeinternational.org/ISO9001

School feedback: The depth of knowledge displayed by the best A Level students makes them prime targets for America’s Ivy League universities

Feedback from: Yale University, USA
Why choose Cambridge International AS & A Level French?

About the syllabus
Cambridge International AS and A Levels in French are accepted by universities and employers as proof of linguistic ability and understanding. Successful language students gain lifelong skills, including:

- the ability to communicate confidently and clearly in French
- a sound understanding of the nature of language and language study, and of the skills and abilities required for further study, work and leisure
- insight into the culture and contemporary society of countries where French is spoken
- better integration into communities where French is spoken
- positive attitudes towards language learning, towards the speakers of other languages, and towards other cultures and societies
- skills which can be used in other areas of learning, such as analysis and memory skills.

Guided learning hours
Guided learning hours give an indication of the amount of contact time teachers need to have with learners to deliver a particular course. Our syllabuses are designed around 180 guided learning hours for Cambridge International AS Level, and around 360 guided learning hours for Cambridge International A Level.

These figures are for guidance only. The number of hours needed to gain the qualification may vary depending on local practice and the learners’ previous experience of the subject.

Prior learning
We recommend that learners who are beginning this course should have previously completed a Cambridge O Level or Cambridge IGCSE™ assessment/course or equivalent in French.

Progression
A Cambridge International A Level in a language provides a suitable foundation for the study of languages or related courses in higher education. Equally it is suitable for candidates intending to pursue careers or further study in languages, or as part of a course of general education.

A Cambridge International AS Level in a language provides a suitable foundation for the study of the language at Cambridge International A Level and thence for related courses in higher education. Depending on local university entrance requirements, it may permit or assist progression directly to university courses in languages or some other subjects. It is also suitable for candidates intending to pursue careers or further study in languages, or as part of a course of general education.

We recommend learners check the Cambridge recognition database and university websites to find the most up-to-date entry requirements for courses they wish to study.

How can I find out more?
If you are already a Cambridge school
You can make entries for this qualification through your usual channels. If you have any questions, please contact us at info@cambridgeinternational.org

If you are not yet a Cambridge school
Learn more about the benefits of becoming a Cambridge school from our website at www.cambridgeinternational.org/join

Email us at info@cambridgeinternational.org to find out how your organisation can register to become a Cambridge school.
Cambridge AICE
Cambridge AICE (Advanced International Certificate of Education) Diploma is the group award of the Cambridge International AS & A Level. It gives schools the opportunity to benefit from offering a broad and balanced curriculum by recognising the achievements of candidates who pass examinations from different curriculum groups.

Learn more
For more details go to www.cambridgeinternational.org/aice

“School feedback:” Our research has shown that students who came to the university with a Cambridge AICE background performed better than anyone else that came to the university. That really wasn’t surprising considering the emphasis they have on critical research and analysis, and that’s what we require at university.

Feedback from: Assistant Vice President for Enrollment Management, Florida State University, USA

“School feedback:” Cambridge International AS & A Levels prepare students well for university because they’ve learnt to go into a subject in considerable depth. There’s that ability to really understand the depth and richness and the detail of a subject. It’s a wonderful preparation for what they are going to face at university.

Feedback from: US Higher Education Advisory Council
Supporting teachers

We provide a wide range of resources, detailed guidance and innovative training and professional development so that you can give your students the best possible preparation for Cambridge International AS & A Level. To find out which resources are available for each syllabus go to our School Support Hub.

The School Support Hub is our secure online site for Cambridge teachers where you can find the resources you need to deliver our programmes. You can also keep up to date with your subject and the global Cambridge community through our online discussion forums.

Find out more at www.cambridgeinternational.org/support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning and preparation</th>
<th>Teaching and assessment</th>
<th>Learning and revision</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Next steps guides.</td>
<td>Endorsed resources.</td>
<td>Example candidate responses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schemes of work.</td>
<td>Online forums.</td>
<td>Past papers and mark schemes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen papers.</td>
<td>Support for coursework and speaking tests.</td>
<td>Specimen paper answers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syllabuses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Candidate Results Service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher guides.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principal examiner reports for teachers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Results Analysis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sign up for email notifications about changes to syllabuses, including new and revised products and services at www.cambridgeinternational.org/syllabusupdates

Professional development

We support teachers through:

- Introductory Training – face-to-face or online
- Extension Training – face-to-face or online
- Enrichment Professional Development – face-to-face or online

Find out more at www.cambridgeinternational.org/events

- Cambridge Professional Development Qualifications
  Find out more at www.cambridgeinternational.org/profdev

Supporting exams officers

We provide comprehensive support and guidance for all Cambridge exams officers.
Find out more at: www.cambridgeinternational.org/eoguide
1 Assessment at a glance

Centres and candidates can choose to take an assessment at either

- Advanced (A) Level or
- Advanced Subsidiary (AS) Level.

Candidates wishing to take a Cambridge International A Level must take all components of the assessment in the same examination series. It is not possible for candidates to follow a staged assessment of these qualifications. Centres can offer an AS qualification either as a stand-alone assessment, or as a means of testing candidates’ skills and competence before they enter for the Cambridge International A Level exam. See section 4 for an outline of the components.

Note: The use of dictionaries is not permitted in any assessment.

Availability

Syllabus 8682 is examined in the June and November examination series. Syllabus 9716 is examined in the June examination series only.

Private candidates can enter for this syllabus. The accommodating centre must be able to make appropriate arrangements to conduct and access the Speaking test, and submit the required sample and paperwork to Cambridge International for External moderation.

Detailed timetables are available from www.cambridgeinternational.org/timetables

Centres in the UK that receive government funding are advised to consult the Cambridge website www.cambridgeinternational.org for the latest information before beginning to teach these syllabuses.

Scheme of assessment summary

Where a component is common to two or more qualifications, grading of each qualification is carried out separately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component 1 Speaking Test</th>
<th>Component 2 Reading and Writing</th>
<th>Component 3 Essay</th>
<th>Component 4 Texts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>duration</td>
<td>weighting</td>
<td>duration</td>
<td>weighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A Level</strong></td>
<td>20 mins</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>1 hour 45 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AS Language</strong></td>
<td>20 mins</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>1 hour 45 mins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where candidates perform to the appropriate standard, certificates will record whether a Distinction, Merit or Pass was achieved in the Speaking Test.
Combining this with other syllabuses

Candidates can combine this syllabus in an examination series with any other Cambridge International syllabus, except:

- syllabuses with the same title at the same level.

In addition, where a component contributes to different awards, candidates may not take more than one of those awards in the same examination series:

- Cambridge International A Level candidates may **not** take a Cambridge International AS Level qualification in the same language in the same series
- Cambridge International AS Level candidates may **not** take the Cambridge International A Level qualification in the same language in the same series.
2 Syllabus aims and assessment objectives

2.1 Syllabus aims
The Cambridge International AS & A Level French syllabus aims to:

• develop the ability to understand French in a variety of registers
• enable students to communicate confidently and clearly in French
• form a sound base of skills, language and attitudes required for further study, work and leisure
• develop insights into the culture and civilisation of the countries where French is spoken, including the study of literary texts where appropriate (this does not apply to AS Language qualifications)
• encourage positive attitudes to language learning and a sympathetic approach to other cultures and civilisations
• support intellectual and personal development by promoting learning and social skills.

2.2 Assessment objectives
The examinations are designed to assess candidates’ linguistic competence and their knowledge of contemporary society. In the exams, candidates will be expected to:

• understand and respond to texts written in French, drawn from a variety of sources such as magazines, newspapers, reports, books and other forms of extended writing
• manipulate French accurately in spoken and written forms, choosing appropriate examples of lexis and structures
• select information and present it in French
• organise arguments and ideas logically.
3 Syllabus content

This syllabus gives you the flexibility to design a course that will interest, challenge and engage your learners. Where appropriate you are responsible for selecting resources and examples to support your learners’ study. These should be appropriate for the learners’ age, cultural background and learning context as well as complying with your school policies and local legal requirements.

All textual material used in the examinations will be drawn from the topic areas below, with reference to the country or countries where French is spoken. More guidance on the topic areas is given in section 5.

- Human relationships
- Family
- Generation gap
- Young people
- Patterns of daily life
- Urban and rural life
- The media
- Food and drink
- Law and order
- Philosophy and belief
- Health and fitness
- Work and leisure
- Equality of opportunity
- Employment and unemployment
- Sport
- Free time activities
- Travel and tourism
- Education
- Cultural life/heritage
- War and peace
- Social and economic development
- Scientific and medical advances
- Technological innovation
- Environment
- Conservation
- Pollution
- Contemporary aspects of the country or countries where French is spoken
4 Description of components

4.1 Component 1: Speaking Test

20 minutes, 100 marks

There is no question paper for the Speaking Test.

This component description should be read in conjunction with section 6 (Mark Schemes), and section 7 (Administrative guidance on the Speaking Test). It is important that the timings listed for the individual parts of the test are adhered to, within the tolerances given.

Centres/Ministries must appoint a local examiner to conduct the Speaking Test.

Section 1: Presentation

No more than 3½ minutes, 20 marks

The candidate gives a presentation, which they will have prepared in advance, lasting about three minutes, on a specific topic taken from one of the topic areas listed in section 3.

The presentation must demonstrate the candidate’s knowledge of the contemporary society or cultural heritage of a country where French is spoken. Candidates who do not do this will have their mark for Content/Presentation halved (see section 6, Mark Schemes). There must not be a close relationship between the subject matter of the presentation and the texts studied for Component 4. Centres wanting further advice on acceptable subject matter should contact the Cambridge International Languages Group.

The candidate should be able to present relevant facts, express opinions and hypotheses, and put forward points for discussion.

Ideally, the candidate should prepare a topic in which they have a personal interest, aiming to give a lively and interesting presentation. Candidates may prepare a ‘cue card’ (such as a postcard) in French to remind them of the main points they wish to make, to bring into the examination room. Candidates may also bring in a limited quantity of illustrative material, such as maps, diagrams, statistics, pictures or short articles.

A script of the presentation is not allowed.

Examiners will only interrupt candidates to ask questions if the presentation shows no sign of finishing after 3½ minutes, or to prompt a candidate having obvious difficulty in continuing with their presentation.
Section 2: Topic Conversation

7–8 minutes, 40 marks

The presentation will lead into a conversation about the chosen topic. During the presentation, the examiner can make notes in order to help them ask appropriate questions. Candidates must be prepared to supply additional factual material where appropriate and to express and defend a point of view. In order to give the candidate every opportunity to do this, examiners will use open-ended questions (such as ‘tell me more about ...’, ‘why ...?’, ‘how ...?’), rather than closed questions which may be answered by ‘yes/no’. When choosing a topic, candidates should consider how the subsequent conversation might develop: if they cannot think of six or more possible questions that the examiner could ask, the topic is unlikely to be a fruitful source of discussion.

The examiner will encourage the candidate to contribute as much as possible to the conversation. As part of this, the candidate is required to seek information from and the opinions of the examiner, and must be given every opportunity to do so (see section 6, Mark Schemes).

Section 3: General Conversation

8–9 minutes, 40 marks

At the end of the Topic Conversation the examiner will announce the transition to the General Conversation.

This section begins with fairly straightforward questions about the candidate’s background and interests, and moves quickly on to a more mature conversation discussing more abstract and/or current issues within the general topic areas.

The subjects covered in this section will depend on the candidate’s interests and the subject of the presentation: for example, it would not be appropriate to continue talking about the environment if the candidate has already chosen to discuss ecology for the topic.

Candidates should be able to discuss some matters of current interest, though examiners should not expect candidates to be well informed on all matters of serious contemporary concern. If the candidate seems unresponsive, the examiner will try a change of topic.

For example, the examiner might begin this section with questions such as ‘How do you spend your spare time?’, leading rapidly to matters of contemporary interest/current affairs. The type of question is important: closed questions may, of course, be used to gain some information on the candidate’s interests, but open-ended questions beginning with ‘why ...?’, ‘how ...?’, or ‘what do you think about ...?’ will give the candidate more scope in their responses.

Each ‘starter’ question could, depending on the reaction of the candidate, lead away from factual matters towards more abstract areas, for example:

- ‘How long have you lived here?’ could lead on to ‘What do you think of the area?’ → ‘What would attract people to the area/make them leave it?’ → ‘What would be your ideal place to live and why?’
- ‘What subjects are you studying?’ → ‘What do you think of the way you’ve been taught?’ → ‘How could it be improved?’ → discussion of school/education system, comparison with other countries.
The General Conversation section might develop as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Type</th>
<th>Possible Follow-Up Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 'What do you do in your spare time?' | Answer: ‘Sport’
  
  Supplementary questions – taking part?/watching?/team/individual?
  
  Why?
  
  This could develop along sport/health lines, necessity for sport in schools, success/failure of national teams.
  
  Feelings of nationalism/nationality; drugs in sport etc., all according to the responses of the candidate. Any of these areas of discussion could lead to violence in sport → society, the need for government intervention/control → politics etc. |
|                                   | Answer: ‘Watch TV’
  
  Supplementary questions – what sort of programmes/news?
  
  This might develop along the lines of whether the news is unbiased/censorship in general.
  
  Films? what makes a film successful, importance of stars and why; national or international film industries, subsidies for the Arts, etc.
  
  Documentaries? → are they merely entertainment, or a genuine educational experience? are they sensationalised? → power of the media, etc. |

Reference may be made to a candidate’s reading but candidates must not be examined in detail on the content of any set books. Questions will act as stepping-stones to the discussion of wider issues.

Candidates must seek information and opinions from the examiner, and should be given every opportunity to do so (see section 6, Mark Schemes).

The General Conversation section might only cover two or three topic areas, possibly more if the examiner has difficulty finding something the candidate is interested in, or can talk about. Candidates who cannot sustain the conversation at a level appropriate to a 17/18+ examination (when given every opportunity to do so) cannot expect a high mark.

**Important note:**

It is intended that both conversation elements will be lively and spontaneous. Teachers should warn their candidates not to produce chunks of pre-learned material since Cambridge International’s moderators are advised to penalise candidates who do so. Equally, teachers who may also be conducting the final examination should guard against over-rehearsing the tests in advance. Any suspicion of collusion in the conduct of speaking tests (e.g., pre-prepared questions, candidates or teachers using pre-determined scripts) will be dealt with in accordance with Cambridge International’s Malpractice procedures.
4.2 Component 2: Reading and Writing

1 hour 45 minutes, 70 marks

Two passages in French are set which deal with related themes. Candidates answer specific and general comprehension questions on the two passages, and respond to a task requiring a summary or comparison of issues raised. French will be used for all questions and answers.

The passages will have been written during the last twenty years, and will reflect the international scene.

In addition:
- the two passages, taken together, will not exceed 750 words
- on the first passage, two tests (5 marks each) will cover vocabulary recognition and grammatical manipulation. These will be followed by a series of comprehension questions (15 marks for Content and 5 marks for Quality of Language)
- on the second passage, there will be a series of comprehension questions (15 marks for Content and 5 marks for Quality of Language)
- the last question will require candidates to write about 140 words, drawing information from both passages and adding their own opinions, (10 marks for information drawn from the passages, 5 marks for personal response to the material, and 5 marks for Quality of Language).

4.3 Component 3: Essay

1 hour 30 minutes, 40 marks

A list of five topics, selected from the topic areas in section 3, is published below. The list changes every year. A question will be set on each of the five topics; candidates choose one question and write an essay in French of 250–400 words. Of the 40 marks available, 24 are for the Quality of the Language and 16 for the Content (see section 6, Mark Schemes).

Set topics for examination in 2024

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Urban and rural life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Food and drink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cultural life/heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>War and peace</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 Component 4: Texts

2 hours 30 minutes, 75 marks

Candidates answer three questions in French. Each question must be on a different text, taken from the list below. The list is divided into two sections: candidates must choose at least one text from each section.

Each question is marked out of 25. Candidates are advised to write between 500 and 600 words. Candidates who write more than 600 words will not be placed higher than the 16–17 category for that answer (see section 6, Mark Schemes).

Unannotated set texts may be taken into the examination room. These texts must not have been written in or marked in any way. Where any Editors’ Notes appear at the beginning or end of a set text, these must be separated off with an elastic band.

**Section 1**
Candidates are given a choice of two questions for each text.

For each text in this section there will be an extended passage taken from the text followed by either a single question or a number of short questions. This will not be a context passage (the location of the passage is given) but a stimulus to allow candidates to bring a focus to their answer. Candidates are asked to comment on particular aspects of the passage and/or to indicate how the passage reflects the book as a whole.

The alternative question will be an essay question, similar to those in section 2.

**Section 2**
For each text there is a choice of two questions focusing on issues central to the text. Candidates are expected to display detailed knowledge of the text and to show some awareness of how the author conveys the message of the work.

Note that the list of set texts is different year-on-year. Please ensure that you refer to the table below for candidates who will sit the examination in 2024.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set texts for examination in 2024</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1  <em>Le Mariage de Figaro</em>, Beaumarchais</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  <em>Britannicus</em>, Racine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  <em>Eugénie Grandet</em>, Honoré de Balzac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  <em>Bonjour Tristesse</em>, Françoise Sagan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  <em>Un Secret</em>, Philippe Grimbert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  <em>Petit Pays</em>, Gaël Faye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  <em>La Maison de Claudine</em>, Colette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  <em>La Place</em>, Annie Ernaux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Topic areas: further guidance

Teachers can explore the topic areas in any way they choose. The following examples (which are not prescriptive) are a useful guide to planning courses. All these suggestions, and other themes chosen by the teacher from within the topic areas, should be studied with reference to countries/communities where French is spoken.

Human relationships – family – generation gap – young people
- family activities; new patterns of family relationships; the status of the elderly and responsibility for their care
- generation gap; conflicts in the family circle; young people and the older generation; attitudes of young people to the family environment
- young people; young people and their peer group; young people as a target group for advertisers and politicians.

Patterns of daily life – urban and rural life – the media – food and drink – law and order – philosophy and belief – health and fitness
- daily routine; school; the individual’s way of life; living conditions
- advantages and disadvantages of urban and rural life; transport and communications; shopping; housing
- the role and influence of the media; the power of advertising
- healthy eating; fast-food; national traditions of eating and drinking
- violence and crime; drug-related crime; the role of the police; law-enforcement
- the role of philosophy and belief in a local, national and an international context; attitudes towards different beliefs and philosophical issues; philosophical and religious practices/groups; values and morality
- healthy living; exercise; dieting; drugs; health care provision; stress; AIDS.

- women in society and in the workforce; equality of opportunity for minority groups
- preparation for work and job opportunities; career plans; qualifications and job routines; plight of the unemployed, areas of high unemployment; demise of traditional industries; possible solutions, immigrant workers
- individual and team sports; amateur and professional sport
- value of leisure; balance between leisure and work; planning leisure time
- tourism as a modern phenomenon; friction between tourists and local inhabitants; holidays and foreign travel
- education systems and types of school; patterns of curriculum; relationship between education and training; further and higher education provision; examinations
- the world of the arts; significant figures and trends in the arts; the place of culture and the arts in the life of the nation.

War and peace – social and economic development
- conflicts in the world: ethnic, religious, ideological
- positive and negative aspects of social and economic development; recent and predicted trends.
Medical advances – scientific and technological innovation
- advances in the treatment of disease; ethical issues of medical and other technologies
- cloning; genetic modifications; modern communications systems.

Environment – pollution – conservation
- the individual in his/her surroundings; effect of environment on individuals; protest action to protect one’s locality; ways of contributing to environmental awareness
- global warming; acid rain; air pollution; water pollution; noise pollution; destruction of rain forests; damage to animal world; solutions and cost implications
- saving endangered species and landscapes.

Contemporary aspects of the country/ies where French is spoken
- e.g. political, regional, social issues.
# 6 Mark Schemes

## 6.1 Component 1: Speaking Test

### Section 1: Presentation (20 marks)

- Content and Presentation – 10 marks
- Pronunciation and Intonation – 5 marks
- Language – 5 marks

Candidates who make no specific reference to the contemporary society or cultural heritage of a country where French is spoken will have their mark for Content and Presentation halved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content and Presentation</th>
<th>Pronunciation and Intonation</th>
<th>Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge of facts; ability to express opinions and raise issues for discussion.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10 Full and well organised coverage of the topic; ideas and opinions included as well as factual points; lively presentation; examiner’s interest sustained.</td>
<td>5 Outstanding pronunciation and intonation; an occasional slight mistake or hesitation. Not necessarily a native speaker.</td>
<td>5 Has a very good feeling for the language; speaks fluently and accurately; shows good use of relevant idiom and uses a wide range of structures and vocabulary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/8 Good exposition and sound organisation of the topic; makes relevant factual points though may be less good in ideas and opinions; presentation somewhat stilted though keeps examiner’s interest.</td>
<td>4 Good pronunciation, makes a fair attempt at correct intonation and expression; some mistakes and/or hesitation.</td>
<td>4 Speaks fairly fluently and accurately; uses idiom with a reasonable range of structures and vocabulary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/6 Adequate exposition of the topic; few ideas or opinions; evidence of preparation but presentation pedestrian.</td>
<td>3 A fair degree of accuracy in pronunciation; quite a number of errors; some attempt at intonation and expression.</td>
<td>3 May speak with hesitation; adequate range of structures and vocabulary; no ambiguity of meaning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4 Material thin; rambling, repetitious; hardly any ideas or opinions; in danger of losing the examiner’s interest.</td>
<td>2 Intelligible but shows marked influence of mother tongue and very many errors of pronunciation.</td>
<td>2 Marked hesitation; limited range of structures and vocabulary; leading to some ambiguity of meaning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/1/2 Very little factual information; material irrelevant; vague; arguments incoherent; little effort at presentation.</td>
<td>0/1 Very poor; many gross errors; frequently incomprehensible.</td>
<td>0/1 Very marked hesitation; severe limitations of structures and vocabulary; thought processes basically influenced by mother tongue.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 2: Topic Conversation (40 marks) and Section 3: General Conversation (40 marks)

- Comprehension and Responsiveness – 10 marks
- Accuracy – 10 marks
- Feel for the Language – 10 marks
- Range of vocabulary and structures
- Providing Information and Opinions – 5 marks
- Seeking Information and Opinions – 5 marks

### Comprehension & Responsiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>9–10 Very good</strong></td>
<td>No problems of comprehension. Responses are natural and spontaneous even to unexpected questions. Able to present and defend a point of view in discussion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7–8 Good</strong></td>
<td>Few problems of comprehension. Responds thoughtfully, and copes fairly well with unexpected questions. Reasonably forthcoming but tends to follow Examiner’s lead.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5–6 Satisfactory</strong></td>
<td>Understands questions on basic situations and concepts, but has difficulty with more complicated ideas. Some delay in response. Needs encouragement to develop topics. OR Relies heavily on prepared responses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3–4 Weak</strong></td>
<td>Has general difficulty in understanding. Limited response to questions on the majority of topics raised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0–2 Poor</strong></td>
<td>Severe problems of comprehension. Very marked hesitation. Limited responsiveness.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Accuracy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>9–10 Very good</strong></td>
<td>Consistently accurate. Only occasional minor slips.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7–8 Good</strong></td>
<td>Accuracy generally good, with more frequent errors than in the very best candidates. Shows a sound basic understanding of grammatical usage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5–6 Satisfactory</strong></td>
<td>Accuracy indicates a measure of competence but with some obvious and significant gaps in grammatical usage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3–4 Weak</strong></td>
<td>Generally inaccurate use of the language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0–2 Poor</strong></td>
<td>Has no feeling for the foreign target language.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Feel for the Language

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>9–10 Very good</strong></td>
<td>Has a very good feeling for the language and is able to express concepts fluently in appropriate idiom. Negligible influence from the mother tongue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7–8 Good</strong></td>
<td>Has a very good feeling for the language. Shows competent use of relevant idiom. Avoids significant influence from mother tongue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5–6 Satisfactory</strong></td>
<td>Feeling for the language evident with some occasional use of relevant idiom. Thought processes and expression are influenced by mother tongue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3–4 Weak</strong></td>
<td>Has scant feeling for the idiom. Generally translates literally from the mother tongue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0–2 Poor</strong></td>
<td>Has no feeling for the foreign target language.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Range of vocabulary and structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 Very good</strong></td>
<td>Extensive range of appropriate vocabulary. Able to use a wide range of structures with confidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4 Good</strong></td>
<td>Has sufficient range of vocabulary and structures to handle reasonably mature subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 Satisfactory</strong></td>
<td>Limited expression of ideas (but not ambiguity) caused by limitations in range of vocabulary and some structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 Weak</strong></td>
<td>Severe limitations of vocabulary and structures restrict discussion to a very basic level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0–1 Poor</strong></td>
<td>Very restricted vocabulary. Only simple sentences and no variety of structure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 Very good</strong></td>
<td>More than one question asked with confidence. Spontaneous or prompted, but arising out of conversation and relevant to topic under discussion. High level of accuracy, using a range of question forms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4 Good</strong></td>
<td>Asks more than one question confidently. Spontaneous or prompted, but arising out of conversation and relevant to topic under discussion. Questions largely accurate, but forms may be limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 Satisfactory</strong></td>
<td>Capable of asking a minimum of one question. Spontaneous or prompted, but arising out of conversation and relevant to topic under discussion. Has difficulty in formulating questions, but questions comprehensible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 Weak</strong></td>
<td>Severe limitations in asking questions – possibly one question only. Question(s) will probably not arise naturally or be relevant to the topic under discussion. Question(s) difficult to understand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0–1 Poor</strong></td>
<td>Questions attempted, but incomprehensible. (1) No questions, even when prompted. (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In the case of candidates who do not ask any questions by the end of the Topic Conversation, Examiners must prompt by asking* Do you have any questions to ask of me? in the appropriate language. The same prompt should be used at the end of the General Conversation. Candidates will not be penalised for being prompted.*
6.2 Component 2: Reading and Writing

Quality of Language – Accuracy (Questions 3, 4 and 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consistently accurate. Only very few errors of minor significance. Accurate use of more complex structures (verb forms, tenses, prepositions, word order).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher incidence of error than above, but clearly has a sound grasp of the grammatical elements in spite of lapses. Some capacity to use accurately more complex structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair level of accuracy. Common tenses and regular verbs mostly correctly formed. Some problems in forming correct agreement of adjectives. Difficulty with irregular verbs, use of prepositions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Below average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persistent errors in tense and verb forms. Prepositions frequently incorrect. Recurrent errors in agreement of adjectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Little or no evidence of grammatical awareness. Most constructions incomplete or incorrect. Consistent and repeated error.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional marking guidance for Quality of Language – Questions 3 and 4

The five marks available for Quality of Language are awarded **globally** for the whole performance on each set of answers.

A concise answer, containing all mark-bearing components for Content is scored on the full range of marks for language, i.e. length does not determine the Quality of Language mark.

**Answers scoring 0 for Content** cannot contribute to the overall Quality of Language mark.

Identify the answer(s) scoring 0 for Content in the whole set of answers. Then add together the number of Content marks available for each of these questions and reduce the Quality of Language mark according to the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Content marks available on questions where a candidate scores 0</th>
<th>Reduce Quality of Language mark by:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** A minimum of one mark for Quality of Language should be awarded if there are any Content marks at all (i.e. 0 Quality of Language marks only if 0 Content marks).
Response to the passage (Question 5)

This should be marked as a mini-essay according to the variety and interest of the opinions and views expressed, the candidate’s response to the original text stimulus, and their ability to express a personal point of view. Additional guidance on marking specific questions will be given to examiners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Very good</strong>&lt;br&gt;Varied and interesting ideas, showing an element of flair and imagination, a capacity to express a personal point of view.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>Good</strong>&lt;br&gt;Not the flair and imagination of the best candidates, but work still shows an ability to express a range of ideas, maintain interest and respond to the issues raised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>Sound</strong>&lt;br&gt;A fair level of interest and ideas. May concentrate on a single issue, but there is still a response to ideas in the text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Below average</strong>&lt;br&gt;Limited range of ideas; rather humdrum. May disregard the element of response to the text, and write a largely unrelated free-composition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td><strong>Poor</strong>&lt;br&gt;Few ideas to offer on the theme. Banal and pedestrian. No element of personal response to the text. Repeated error.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.3 Component 3: Essay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language (24 marks)</th>
<th>Content (16 marks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>21–24  Very good</strong>&lt;br&gt;Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom.</td>
<td><strong>14–16  Very good</strong>&lt;br&gt;Detailed, clearly relevant and well illustrated; coherently argued and structured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16–20  Good</strong>&lt;br&gt;Generally sound grasp of grammar in spite of quite a few lapses; reads reasonably; some attempt at varied vocabulary.</td>
<td><strong>11–13  Good</strong>&lt;br&gt;Sound knowledge and generally relevant; some ability to develop argument and draw conclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10–15  Adequate</strong>&lt;br&gt;A tendency to be simple, clumsy or laboured; some degree of accuracy; inappropriate use of idiom.</td>
<td><strong>7–10  Adequate</strong>&lt;br&gt;Some knowledge, but not always relevant; a more limited capacity to argue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5–9  Poor</strong>&lt;br&gt;Consistently simple or pedestrian sentence patterns with persistent errors; limited vocabulary.</td>
<td><strong>3–6  Poor</strong>&lt;br&gt;Some attempt at argument, tends to be sketchy or unspecific; little attempt to structure an argument; major misunderstanding of question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0–4  Very poor</strong>&lt;br&gt;Only the simplest sentence patterns, little evidence of grammatical awareness, very limited vocabulary.</td>
<td><strong>0–2  Very poor</strong>&lt;br&gt;Vague and general, ideas presented at random.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4 Component 4: Texts

Candidates must write their answers in French. Examiners will look for a candidate’s ability to communicate effectively and will ignore linguistic errors which do not impede communication.

Passage-based questions
Examiners should consider the extent to which candidates have been able to identify the significant issues raised in the passage and, where appropriate, have applied these to the text as a whole. The passage is a stimulus passage, to be used as a springboard to give candidates a starting point for their answer. Examiners should allow candidates to use the passage as they choose, and ask themselves how successfully the candidates have manipulated their material and to what extent they have shown depth of awareness and knowledge of the workings of the text under discussion. This is not an exercise in literary criticism: Examiners should reward candidates whose answers show good understanding of how a text works and how an author has conveyed the key issues.

Essay questions
A prime consideration is that candidates show detailed knowledge and understanding of the text.

Extracts from Examiners’ Notes
This paper is intended to test candidates’ knowledge of a text and their ability to use this knowledge to answer questions in a clear and focused manner. A sophisticated literary approach is not expected (though at the highest levels it is sometimes seen), but great value is placed on evidence of a firsthand response and thoughtful, personal evaluation of what candidates have read. Candidates may have been encouraged to depend closely on prepared notes and quotations: quotation for its own sake is not useful, though it will not be undervalued if used appropriately to illustrate a point in the answer.

Candidates do not tend to show all the qualities or faults described in any one mark-band. Examiners attempt to weigh all these up at every borderline, in order to see whether the work can be considered for the category above. At the lower levels, the answer may mention a few ‘facts’ but these may be so poorly understood, badly organised and irrelevant that it falls into category 10–11; or there may be just enough sense of understanding and focus for the examiner to consider the 12–13 band. Again, at a higher level, an answer may be clear, solid and conscientious (perhaps 18–19), without showing quite the control and attention to perceptively chosen detail which would justify 20 or more.

Examiners take a positive and flexible approach and, even when there are obvious flaws in an answer, reward evidence of knowledge and especially any signs of understanding and careful organisation.
Candidates are expected to write 500–600 words for each of their answers. Candidates who write more than 600 words cannot be placed higher than the 16–17 category in the Mark scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22–25</td>
<td>Exceptional work. Excellent ability to organise material, thorough knowledge, considerable sensitivity to language and to author’s intentions, understanding of some literary techniques. Really articulate and intelligent answers should be considered in this band even if there are still flaws and omissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–21</td>
<td>Very good. Close attention to detail of passages, controlled structure, perceptive use of illustration, good insight when discussing characters. Ability to look beyond the immediate material and to show some understanding of author’s intentions and of underlying themes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–19</td>
<td>Thoroughly solid and relevant work. Candidate does not simply reproduce information: can discuss and evaluate material and come to clear conclusion. Good focus on passages. Some limitations of insight but coherent, detailed approach and aptly chosen illustrations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–17</td>
<td>Painstaking. Sound knowledge of texts; mainly relevant. Some attempt to analyse and compare, some sense of understanding. Possibly not in full control of material; solid but indiscriminate. Many very conscientious candidates fall into this category: they tend to write far too much as they are reluctant to leave out anything they have learnt. Focused, coherent essays which lack really solid detail but convey a good understanding of the text should also be considered for this band.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14–15</td>
<td>Fair relevance and knowledge. Better organised than work in the 12–13 band: the candidate probably understands the demands of the question without being able to develop a very thorough response. Still a fairly simple, black and white approach. Some narrative and ‘learnt’ material but better control and focus than work in the 12–13 band. Many candidates probably fall into this category.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–13</td>
<td>Sound, if simple and superficial, knowledge of plot and characters. Makes assertions without being able to illustrate or develop points. Probably still too dependent on narrative and memorised oddments but there may be a visible attempt to relate these to the question. Can extract one or two relevant points from a set passage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–11</td>
<td>Some very basic material but not much sense of understanding or ability to answer the question. The candidate rarely reads the set passage but uses it as a springboard for storytelling and memorised bits and pieces about characters. Very general, unspecific approach. Random, bitty structure. Signs of organisation and relevance should be looked for in case the answer can be considered for a mark in the 12–13 band.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–9</td>
<td>Marginally more knowledge here than in the 0–5 band. The candidate may have read the text but is probably unable to see beyond the barest bones of the plot or half-remembered notes. Insubstantial; very little relevance. The candidate may have problems with the language and will be unable to express ideas comprehensibly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>No discernible material. Often very inadequate language. Marks in this section are awarded almost on the basis of quantity: up to 3 for a sentence or two showing a glimpse of knowledge, 4 or 5 where there is also a hint of relevance to the question. It is possible for a candidate to write a whole page demonstrating no knowledge at all (have they read the book?), or only misunderstood background facts or very vague general remarks unrelated to either text or question.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 Administrative guidance on the Speaking Test

This guidance should be read in conjunction with the Component 1 description (section 4) and the Mark Schemes (section 6). There is no question paper for Component 1, the Speaking Test. Information on how to conduct and assess the Speaking Test, and the website links to the forms required for assessment, are provided in this syllabus.

7.1 Conducting the Speaking Test

Candidates must be examined singly and face-to-face. Only one examiner may conduct the test. No other person should normally be present during the examination.

Examination conditions must prevail in the area where the examination takes place. Supervision should be provided to ensure candidates leaving the interview room do not communicate with those waiting to enter.

In order to put candidates at their ease when they enter the room, the examiner should smile and indicate where the candidate should sit. A good examiner will usually send a candidate out of the interview smiling, no matter how good or bad their performance.

Other instructions for examiners:
- no smoking in the examination room
- do not walk about or distract the candidate in any way, for example by doodling or fiddling with papers
- always appear interested, even in mundane matters
- never show undue surprise, impatience or mockery
- never correct a candidate.

7.2 Administrative arrangements

7.2.1 Timing

Speaking Tests take place in the period before the main examination series as notified on the timetable. Each centre decides on a convenient period within these dates to conduct the Speaking Tests.

7.2.2 Appointment of examiners

(a) For Ministries:
For Ministry centres, the Ministry will appoint the examiner and make arrangements for testing candidates.

(b) For non-Ministry centres:
To maintain a consistent standard only one examiner per centre is recommended. Each centre selects its own examiner. This is normally a teacher from within the centre’s Languages Department, but could be a suitably qualified person from outside the centre. A group of centres can choose the same examiner. Cambridge International is not responsible for any fees agreed.
7.2.3 Recording and submitting candidates’ marks and work

Centres should ensure, well in advance of the test, that a suitably quiet room is available and that the recording equipment is in good order. Rooms which are too close to a playground, recreation room or noisy classroom should be avoided; unnecessary background noise must be excluded.

All recording equipment must be of as high a standard as possible and care should be taken to ensure that recording quality is good. The recording equipment must be tested in advance. The recording level should be tested before the start of the tests. Where possible, the recording equipment should have external microphones so that separate microphones can be used for the candidate and the examiner. If only one microphone is being used, it should be placed facing the candidate. If the candidate is softly-spoken, the microphone should be placed nearer to the candidate before the start of the test.

Each candidate should be introduced by their candidate number and their name.

For example:
‘Candidate number 047, candidate name Jane Williams’

Recording should be done as unobtrusively as possible and it should be emphasised that the recording is to check the examiner not the candidate.

Examiners should take care to avoid long gaps and extraneous noise on the recordings.

**Once a test has begun, the recording should run without interruption. On no account should the examiner stop and re-start the recording during a test.**

Centres must spot-check recordings at the end of each half day session of examining.

Please refer to the samples database at www.cambridgeinternational.org/samples for information, dates and methods of submission of candidates’ marks and work.

Each candidate’s test should be marked according to the Mark Scheme provided in section 6. Candidates must be marked as they are being examined and not afterwards from a recording. You should record candidates’ marks for AS or A Level French Language Speaking Test on the Working Mark Sheet which you should download each year from the samples database at www.cambridgeinternational.org/samples. The database will ask you for your country/territory and the syllabus code (i.e. 8682 or 9716), after which it will take you to the correct forms. Follow the instructions on the form to complete it.

The marks on this form must be identical to the marks you submit to Cambridge International.

7.2.4 Internal moderation

If more than one teacher in your centre is marking internal assessments, you must make arrangements to moderate or standardise your teachers’ marking so that all candidates are assessed to a common standard. (If only one teacher is marking internal assessments, no internal moderation is necessary.) You can find further information on the process of internal moderation on the samples database at www.cambridgeinternational.org/samples

You should record the internally moderated marks for all candidates on the Working Mark Sheet and submit these marks to Cambridge International according to the instructions set out in the Cambridge Handbook for the relevant year of assessment.
7.2.5 External moderation

Cambridge International will externally moderate all internally assessed components.

- You must submit the marks of all candidates to Cambridge International.
- You must also submit the marked work of a sample of candidates to Cambridge International.

The sample you submit to Cambridge International should include examples of the marking of each teacher. Examiners who examine at more than one centre should provide a separate sample for each centre. The samples database at www.cambridgeinternational.org/samples explains how the sample will be selected.

A copy of the sample submitted to Cambridge International as well as all other recordings must be retained at the centre until the result enquiry period has elapsed as they may be requested by Cambridge International at a later stage.

Each sample that you submit to Cambridge International must contain a recorded introduction.

This should include:
- the centre number
- the centre name
- the syllabus and component number
- the syllabus name
- the exam series/year (e.g. November 2024)
- the name of the examiner
- the date.

The samples database at www.cambridgeinternational.org/samples also provides details of how to submit the marks and work.

External moderators will produce a short report for each centre with feedback on your marking and administration of the assessment.

If a centre discovers that a candidate, whose recording should be sent as part of the moderation sample, has not been recorded or is inaudible, an email must be sent immediately to Cambridge International.

The email must include:
- the breakdown of the marks of the candidates who have been recorded (as required on the Working Mark Sheet), as well as the candidate whose recording is unsatisfactory
- detailed notes describing the unrecorded candidate’s performance, mentioning specific features which led to the award of particular marks, made as soon as possible after discovery of the problem
- comparisons for each section of the test with other candidates included in the sample.

Cambridge International will then advise on the appropriate course of action. In some cases, it will be necessary to redo the test.
8 Other information

Language
This syllabus is available in English only. The associated assessment materials are in French.

Accessibility and equality

Syllabus and assessment design
Cambridge International works to avoid direct or indirect discrimination in our syllabuses and assessment materials. We aim to maximise inclusivity for candidates of all national, cultural or social backgrounds and with other protected characteristics. In addition, the language and layout used are designed to make our materials as accessible as possible. This gives all learners the opportunity, as fairly as possible, to demonstrate their knowledge, skills and understanding and helps to minimise the requirement to make reasonable adjustments during the assessment process.

Access arrangements
Access arrangements (including modified papers) are the principal way in which Cambridge International complies with our duty, as guided by the UK Equality Act (2010), to make ‘reasonable adjustments’ for candidates with special educational needs (SEN), disability, illness or injury. Where a candidate would otherwise be at a substantial disadvantage in comparison to a candidate with no SEN, disability, illness or injury, we may be able to agree pre-examination access arrangements. These arrangements help a candidate by minimising accessibility barriers and maximising their opportunity to demonstrate their knowledge, skills and understanding in an assessment.

Important:
-Requested access arrangements should be based on evidence of the candidate’s barrier to assessment and should also reflect their normal way of working at school; this is in line with The Cambridge Handbook
  www.cambridgeinternational.org/eoguide
-For Cambridge International to approve an access arrangement, we will need to agree that it constitutes a reasonable adjustment, involves reasonable cost and timeframe and does not affect the security and integrity of the assessment.
-Availability of access arrangements should be checked by centres at the start of the course. Details of our standard access arrangements and modified question papers are available in The Cambridge Handbook
  www.cambridgeinternational.org/eoguide
-Please contact us at the start of the course to find out if we are able to approve an arrangement that is not included in the list of standard access arrangements.
-Candidates who cannot access parts of the assessment may be able to receive an award based on the parts they have completed.
Making entries

Exams officers are responsible for submitting entries to Cambridge International. We encourage them to work closely with you to make sure they enter the right number of candidates for the right combination of syllabus components. Entry option codes and instructions for submitting entries are in the Cambridge Guide to Making Entries. Your exams officer has a copy of this guide.

Exam administration

To keep our exams secure, we produce question papers for different areas of the world, known as administrative zones. We allocate all Cambridge schools to one administrative zone determined by their location. Each zone has a specific timetable. Some of our syllabuses offer candidates different assessment options. An entry option code is used to identify the components the candidate will take relevant to the administrative zone and the available assessment options.

Retakes

Candidates can retake Cambridge International AS Level and Cambridge International A Level as many times as they want to. Cambridge International AS & A Levels are linear qualifications. Candidates must enter for an option that leads to certification. To confirm what entry options are available for this syllabus, refer to the Cambridge Guide to Making Entries for the relevant series.

Candidates cannot resubmit, in whole or in part, speaking tests from a previous series. For information, refer to the Cambridge Handbook for the relevant year of assessment at www.cambridgeinternational.org/eoguide

Grading and reporting

Cambridge International A Level results are shown by one of the grades A*, A, B, C, D or E, indicating the standard achieved, A* being the highest and E the lowest. ‘Ungraded’ indicates that the candidate’s performance fell short of the standard required for grade E. ‘Ungraded’ will be reported on the statement of results but not on the certificate. The letters Q (pending) and X (no result) may also appear on the statement of results but not on the certificate.

Cambridge International AS Level results are shown by one of the grades a, b, c, d or e, indicating the standard achieved, ‘a’ being the highest and ‘e’ the lowest. ‘Ungraded’ indicates that the candidate’s performance fell short of the standard required for grade ‘e’. ‘Ungraded’ will be reported on the statement of results but not on the certificate. The letters Q (pending) and X (no result) may also appear on the statement of results but not on the certificate.

If a candidate takes a Cambridge International A Level and fails to achieve grade E or higher, a Cambridge International AS Level grade will be awarded if both of the following apply:

- the components taken for the Cambridge International A Level by the candidate in that series included all the components making up a Cambridge International AS Level
- the candidate’s performance on the AS Level components was sufficient to merit the award of a Cambridge International AS Level grade.

For the assessment of languages other than English, Cambridge International also reports separate speaking endorsement grades (Distinction, Merit and Pass), for candidates who satisfy the conditions stated in the syllabus.
How students, teachers and higher education can use the grades

Cambridge International A Level

Assessment at Cambridge International A Level has two purposes:

• to measure learning and achievement
  The assessment:
  – confirms achievement and performance in relation to the knowledge, understanding and skills specified in the syllabus.

• to show likely future success
  The outcomes:
  – help predict which students are well prepared for a particular course or career and/or which students are more likely to be successful.
  – help students choose the most suitable course or career.

Cambridge International AS Level

Assessment at Cambridge International AS Level has two purposes:

• to measure learning and achievement
  The assessment:
  – confirms achievement and performance in relation to the knowledge, understanding and skills specified in the syllabus.

• to show likely future success
  The outcomes:
  – help predict which students are well prepared for a particular course or career and/or which students are more likely to be successful
  – help students choose the most suitable course or career
  – help decide whether students part way through a Cambridge International A Level course are making enough progress to continue
  – guide teaching and learning in the next stages of the Cambridge International A Level course.
School feedback: ‘While studying Cambridge IGCSE and Cambridge International A Levels, students broaden their horizons through a global perspective and develop a lasting passion for learning.’

Feedback from: Zhai Xiaoning, Deputy Principal, The High School Affiliated to Renmin University of China